

*Workshop on Management of the Deep Sea Species Edinburgh, 15 May 2013* 



Regulating Deep Sea Fisheries The Commission's proposal

#### Fuensanta Candela Castillo

Head of Unit Fisheries conservation and control Atlantic and outermost regions European Commission, DG MARE C/2

Maritime Affairs



# **Setting the Scene**







#### Where we are : Limited regulation

- Council Reg. (EC) No 2347/2002 of 16 December 2002 establishing specific access requirements and associated conditions applicable to fishing for deepsea stocks
- Biennial TACs and Quotas
- NEAFC effort limits (65% max of historical high) and protected areas (Hatton Bank etc.)
- EU protected areas (Macaronesia, West of Scotland, Northern Spain)





#### **Conclusions of the 2007 Evaluation Communication COM (2007)30**

- Sustainable levels of exploitation are probably too low to support an economically viable fishery
- Measures in 2347/2002 are poorly implemented
- Monitoring & Control procedures must be more rigorous
- Need more standardised data collection
- Measures should be adjusted to each fishery according to target & by-catch species
- More emphasis needed on ecosystem impact









#### **Consultation 2009-2010**



All MS Consulted

Responded: FR, ES, PT, UK, DE, NL





STECF •DSF definition •Data reporting •List of species •Reinforcement of measures



RACs (NWW, SWW, NS, PEL, LD)

Responded: NWWRAC, SWWRAC





#### Commission Proposal COM(2012) 371 final, 19 July 2012

- Focus identify constituency
  - A targeted permit vs a by-catch permit
- Better data on people and on stocks
  - DCF principles, permit-driven population ID, more precise data on catches/effort to support the permit structure
- Easier control and enforcement
  - Comes with population ID prioritisation and risk analysis easier for MSs
- Care for the fragility of deep sea habitats : sustainability at all levels
  - Phase out most harmful gears allow economically viable targeted operations to continue





## **Quick Run-through of key contents**

- Scope (Art. 2) : EU Waters of ICES II to XIV And CECAF; Int waters of CECAF and NEAFC Regulatory AREA (no NAFO, Iceland or Greenland fishing, no South Atlantic, no other Ocean)
- Permits (Art. 4) : types and threshold (10%) to distinguish them. Landings below 100 kg per fishing trip do not require a permit.
- Capacity cap (Art. 5) vessels with 10 tonnesor more of landings during 2 years prior to entry intro force
- **Fishing plans** required + **Impact Assessment** of risk to VMEs if area of operation extends beyond the area fished for the last 3 years (Art. 7)
- Bottom trawl and gillnets phaseout for targeted fisheries (Art. 9)
- Framework for fishing opportunities : Msy, precautionary approach and option of effort-only management (Chapter III Arts. 10 to 13)
- Control reinforcements (Chapter IV Arts. 14 to 18)
- Specific data collection requirements (Art. 19).





#### What it does

- Allows targeted fishing with longlines to continue, pushes bottom trawlers and netters to evolve to a viable alternative
- Gives an option to Council to regulate by effort only if it deems it more appropriate (drop TACs)
- Encourages avoidance for vessels with small by-catch (low thresholds needed for this!)
- Ensures better handling of stock management
- Ensures better data collected





### What it does not

• Ban bottom trawling



This could well be a fishing company's permits portfolio





### **State of play**

- Not yet discussed in Council
- EP : PECH Committee requested COM to provide a complementary Impact Assessment on 21 March
- DG MARE is preparing a report with a view to PECH Committee hearing on 17 June.
  - COM asked MS for logbook and VMS data 2009-2011. Only 2011 data set is complete (data in from all MSs).
  - GIS (Geographical information system) spatial analysis will allow COM to document which fleets are fishing what, how much, where, what part of their business this represents.





## **Thanks for your attention !**



Black Dragonfish, Peter Shearer, NIWA

Maritime Affairs & Fisheries